

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/699,145	KAEWELL ET AL.
	Examiner Christopher E. Lee	Art Unit 2112

All Participants:

Status of Application: RCE filed on 11th of April 2005

(1) Christopher E. Lee (USPTO).

(3) _____

(2) Richard L. Cruz (Reg. No. 52,783).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 25 April 2005

Time: 3:00pm est

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


Christopher E. Lee
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative agreed to amend Claim 7 to correct the claimed invention, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, and, further the Applicants' representative suggested the claim language adjustment in light of the specification, which was agreed by the Examiner, detailed in the accompanying Examiner's amendment.